arXiv:1607.08276v1 [math.RA] 27 Jul 2016

The (μ, ρ, β)-Extension of 3-Lie algebras

Ruipu Bai, Yansha Gao, Zhenheng Li

Abstract

We study an extension algebra A from two given 3-Lie algebras M and H, and discuss the extensibility of a pair of derivations, one from the derivation algebra of M and the other from that of H, to a derivation of A. In particular, we give conditions for such an extension to be a 3-Lie algebra, and provide necessary and sufficient conditions of the pair of derivations to be extendable.

1 Introduction

Construction of 3-Lie algebras is challenging in studying the structure of 3-Lie algebras. Since the concept of n-Lie algebra [F] was introduced in 1985, how to get 3-Lie algebras from known algebraic structures has been continually investigated. We give a short summary of different kinds of 3-Lie algebras constructed from different application areas.

In 1973, motivated by some problems of quark dynamics, authors in [N, T] introduced a 3-ary generalization of Hamiltonian dynamics by means of the 3-ary Poisson bracket,

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3] = \det(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}).$$

This is a special case of the 3-Lie algebra given in [F, Po1, Po2]. Let $(A, \cdot)$ be a commutative associative algebra and $\{D_1, D_2, D_3\}$ be three pairwise commuting derivations. Then there is a 3-Lie algebra structure on A which is called a *Jcobian algebra* defined by

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3] = \det \begin{pmatrix} D_1(x_1) & D_1(x_2) & D_1(x_3) \\ D_2(x_1) & D_2(x_2) & D_2(x_3) \\ D_3(x_1) & D_3(x_2) & D_3(x_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 17B05; Secondary 17D99.
Key words and phrases: 3-Lie algebra, extension, derivation, tensor 3-Lie algebra.

In papers [G, STS], 3-Lie algebras are constructed by Dirac γ-matrices. Let A be a vector space spanned by the four-dimensional γ-matrices (γ^μ) and let $\gamma^5 = \gamma^1 \dots \gamma^4$. Then the product

$$[x, y, z] = [[x, y]\gamma^5, z], \ \ \forall x, y, z \in A,$$

defines a 3-Lie algebra which is isomorphic to the unique simple 3-Lie algebra [L].

For studying integral systems, 3-Lie algebras are constructed by 2-dimensional extensions of metric Lie algebras [HIM]. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{F}$, that is, B is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $B([x, y], z) = -B(y, [x, z])$ for every $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Suppose $\{x_1, \cdots, x_m\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $[x_i, x_j] = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{m} a_{ij}^k x_k, \ 1 \le i, j \le m$. Set

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{F}x^0 \oplus \mathbb{F}x^{-1} \ \ \text{(a direct sum of vector spaces)}.$$

Then there is a 3-Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ given by

$$[x_0, x_i, x_j] = [x_i, x_j], \ 1 \le i, j \le m; \ [x^{-1}, x_i, x_j] = 0, \ 0 \le i, j \le m;$$

$$[x_i, x_j, x_k] = \sum_{s=1}^{m} a_{ij}^s B(x_s, x_k)x^{-1}, \ 1 \le i, j, k \le m.$$

The general linear Lie algebras with trace forms [A] are constructed in the matrix space. Let $\mathfrak{g} = gl(m, \mathbb{F})$ be the general linear Lie algebra. Then there is a 3-Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$[A, B, C] = (trA)[B, C] + (trB)[C, A] + (trC)[A, B], \ \ \forall A, B, C \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

R. Bai and cooperators constructed 3-Lie algebras using Lie algebras and linear functions in [BBW, BLZ]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ satisfying $f([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication

$$[x, y, z]_f = f(x)[y, z] + f(y)[z, x] + f(z)[x, y], \ \ \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is proved that all non-simple m-dimensional 3-Lie algebras with $m \le 5$ can be realized by Lie algebras. In paper [BW], 3-Lie algebras are constructed from a commutative associative algebras. Let A be a commutative associative

algebra with a derivation δ and an involution Δ satisfying $\delta\Delta + \Delta\delta = 0$, then A is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication

$$[x, y, z] = (\Delta \wedge Id_A \wedge \delta)(x, y, z).$$

Using this method, we can easily get simple or non-simple infinite dimensional 3-Lie algebras from group algebras.

In this paper, We study an extension algebra A from two given 3-Lie algebras M and H, and discuss the extensibility of a pair of derivations, one from the derivation algebra of M and the other from that of H, to a derivation of A. In particular, we give conditions for such an extension to be a 3-Lie algebra, and provide necessary and sufficient conditions of the pair of derivations to be extendable.

We suppose that 3-Lie algebras are over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with $ch\mathbb{F} \neq 2$.

2 Preliminary

A **3-Lie algebra** is a vector space A endowed with a ternary multi-linear skew-symmetric operation satisfying for all $x, y, z, u, v \in A$.

$$[[x, y, z], u, v] = [[x, u, v], y, z] + [x, [y, u, v], z] + [x, y, [z, u, v]]. \tag{1}$$

A **derivation** of a 3-algebra A is a linear map $d : A \to A$ such that

$$d([x_1, x_2, x_3]) = [d(x_1), x_2, x_3] + [x_1, d(x_2), x_3] + [x_1, x_2, d(x_3)] \tag{2}$$

All the derivations of A, denoted by $Der(A)$, is a linear Lie algebra.

Let A be a 3-Lie algebra, V be a vector space, and $\rho : A \wedge A \to End(V)$ be a linear map. If ρ satisfies that

$[\rho(x_1, x_2), \rho(x_3, x_4)] = \rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) - \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2)$
$= \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4) - \rho([x_1, x_2, x_4], x_3)$, and

$\rho([x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4) = \rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\rho(x_1, x_4) + \rho(x_3, x_1)\rho(x_2, x_4)$,
then (V, ρ) is called **a representation** of A, or (V, ρ) is **an A-module**.

Lemma 2.1 *Let A be a 3-Lie algebra over F, and V be a vector space, and $\rho : A \wedge A \to End(V)$ be a linear map. If (V, ρ) is an A-module, then the following identities hold, for all $x, y, z, u \in A$,*

$$\rho([x,y,z],u)-\rho([x,y,u],z)+\rho([x,z,u],y)-\rho([y,z,u],x)=0, \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x,u)\rho(y,z)+\rho(y,z)\rho(x,u)+\rho(x,y)\rho(z,u)+\rho(z,u)\rho(x,y)\\ -\rho(x,z)\rho(y,u)-\rho(y,u)\rho(x,z)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Proof. The result follows from a direct computation.

3 (μ,ρ,β)-extension of 3-Lie algebras

Definition 3.1 *Let $(H,[,,]_H)$ and $(M,[,,]_M)$ be 3-Lie algebras over a field F, $A=M\dot{+}H$, and*

$\rho: M\wedge M\to Der(H)$, $\beta: M\wedge H\to Der(H)$, $\mu: M\wedge M\wedge M\to H$ *be linear mappings. Define linear multiplication $[,,]_{\mu\rho\beta}$: $A\wedge A\wedge A\to A$ by, for all $x,y,z\in M$ and $h,h_1,h_2\in H$,*

$$\begin{aligned}[x,y,z]_{\mu\rho\beta}&=[x,y,z]_M+\mu(x,y,z),\\ [x,y,h]_{\mu\rho\beta}&=\rho(x,y)h,\\ [h_1,h_2,h_3]_{\mu\rho\beta}&=[h_1,h_2,h_3]_H,\\ [x,h_1,h_2]_{\mu\rho\beta}&=\beta(x,h_1)h_2.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

*Then the 3-algebra algebra $(A,[,,]_{\mu\rho\beta})$ is called a (μ,ρ,β)-**extension** of H by M.*

If $\beta=0$, then A is simply called a (μ,ρ)-extension of H by M, and $[,,]_{\mu\rho\beta}$ is reduced to $[,,]_{\mu\rho}$.

For convenience, in the following, $[,,]_H$ and $[,,]_M$ are simply denoted by $[,,]$, and $[,,]_{\mu\rho\beta}$ is simply denoted by $[,,]_A$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let A be a (μ,ρ,β)-extension of H by M, and satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_3])=\rho(x_3,x_1)\rho(x_4,x_2)-\rho(x_2,x_1)\rho(x_4,x_3)\\ +\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_4,x_1)-\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3)).\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Then Eq.(4) holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_3])=\rho(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4])-\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))\\ +\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))-\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)+\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2).\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Proof. If Eq.(4) holds, by Eq.(6),

$\rho(x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_3]) - \rho(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4])$
$= \rho(x_1,x_3)\rho(x_2,x_4) - \rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)\ -\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4)$
$-\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))+\rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3) - \rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_2,x_3)$
$-\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4) + \beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))-\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4)$
$= \rho(x_1,x_3)\rho(x_2,x_4) + \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)\ -\rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_2,x_3)$
$-2\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4) - \beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))+\ \beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))$
$= -\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3)) + \beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4)) - \rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)$
$+\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)$.

It follows that Eq.(7) holds. Conversely, thanks to Eq.(6)
$\rho(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4]) = -\rho(x_3,[x_4,x_2,x_1])= \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_1)$
$-\rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_2)\ +\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)\ -\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))$.
Then by Eq.(7),
$\rho(x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_3])$
$= \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_1) - \rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_2)\ +\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)$
$-\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4)) - \beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))\ +\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))$
$-\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)\ +\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2) = \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_1)$
$-\rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_2)\ -\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))\ +\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)$
$= \rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_2,x_3) + \rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4) + \rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)$
$+\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)\ -\rho(x_1,x_3)\rho(x_2,x_4) - \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)$
$+\rho(x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_3])$, we obtain Eq.(4).

Lemma 3.3 *Let A be a (μ,ρ,β)-extension of H by M satisfying, for all $x,y \in M$ and $h_1,h_2,h \in H$,*

$$\beta(y,h_2)\beta(x,h_1)h - \beta(y,h)\beta(x,h_1)h_2 - \beta(x,h_1)\beta(y,h_2)h, \tag{8}$$
$$= [\rho(x,y)h_1,h_2,h].$$

Then we have

$$\rho(x,y)[h_1,h_2,h] + \beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h_2)h - \beta(x,h_1)\beta(y,h_2)h \tag{9}$$
$$= [\rho(x,y)h_1,h_2,h].$$

Proof. Thanks to Eq.(8) and $\rho(x,y) \in Der(H)$,

$[h_1,\rho(x,y)h_2,h]$
$= \beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h)h_2 + \beta(y,h)\beta(x,h_2)h_1 + \beta(x,h_2)\beta(y,h_1)h,$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[h_1, h_2, \rho(x,y)h] \\
&= \beta(y,h_2)\beta(x,h_1)h + \beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h)h_2 + \beta(x,h)\beta(y,h_2)h_1, \\
&\rho(x,y)[h_1,h_2,h] \\
&= 2(\beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h)h_2 + \beta(y,h_2)\beta(x,h_1)h + \beta(y,h)\beta(x,h_2)h_1) \\
&+\beta(x,h_1)\beta(y,h)h_2 + \beta(x,h_2)\beta(y,h_1)h + \beta(x,h)\beta(y,h_2)h_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h)h_2 + \beta(y,h_2)\beta(x,h_1)h + \beta(y,h)\beta(x,h_2)h_1 \\
&+\beta(x,h_1)\beta(y,h)h_2 + \beta(x,h_2)\beta(y,h_1)h + \beta(x,h)\beta(y,h_2)h_1 = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho(x,y)[h_1,h_2,h] \\
&= \beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h)h_2 + \beta(y,h_2)\beta(x,h_1)h + \beta(y,h)\beta(x_1,h_2)h_1,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta(y,h_2)\beta(x,h_1)h - \beta(y,h)\beta(x,h_1)h_2 \\
&= \rho(x,y)[h_1,h_2,h] + \beta(y,h_1)\beta(x,h_2)h.
\end{aligned}
$$

Again by Eq.(8), we obtain Eq.(9).

Lemma 3.4 *Let A be a (μ,ρ,β)-extension of H by M satisfying for all $x \in M$ and $h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H$,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(10) \qquad & ad(\beta(x,h_1)h_3, h_2) + ad(h_3, \beta(x,h_1)h_2) + ad(\beta(x,h_3)h_2, h_1), \\
& = \beta(x, [h_1,h_2,h_3]).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we

$$
\begin{aligned}
(11) \qquad & [h_1,h_2,\beta(x,h_3)h_4] - \beta(x,[h_1,h_2,h_3])h_4 - [h_3,h_4,\beta(x,h_1)h_2]. \\
& = \beta(x,h_3)[h_1,h_2,h_4].
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $\beta(x,h_3) \in Der(H)$, $x \in M$ and $h_1,h_2,h_3,h_4 \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta(x,[h_1,h_2,h_3])h_4 \\
&= [\beta(x,h_1)h_3, h_2, h_4] + [h_3, \beta(x,h_1)h_2, h_4] + [\beta(x,h_3)h_2, h_1, h_4]. \\
&= -[\beta(x,h_3)h_1, h_2, h_4] + [h_3, \beta(x,h_1)h_2, h_4] + [\beta(x,h_3)h_2, h_1, h_4] \\
&= -\beta(x,h_3)[h_1,h_2,h_4] + [h_1,h_2,\beta(x,h_3)h_4] + [h_3,\beta(x,h_1)h_2,h_4].
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that Eq.(11) holds.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $A = M \dot{+} H$ be a (μ,ρ,β)-extension of H by M. Then A is a 3-Lie algebra if and only if for all $x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5 \in M$ and $h_1,h_2 \in H$, identities Eq.(4), Eq.(6), Eq.(8), Eq.(10) and the following identities hold*

$$
(12) \qquad [\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3), h_1, h_2] = \rho(x_2,x_3)\beta(x_1,h_1)h_2 - \rho(x_1,x_3)\beta(x_2,h_1)h_2
$$

$$+\rho(x_1,x_2)\beta(x_3,h_1)h_2-\beta([x_1,x_2,x_3],h_1)h_2,$$

$$\beta(x_1,h_1)\rho(x_2,x_3)h_2+\beta(x_3,h_2)\rho(x_1,x_2)h_1 \tag{13}$$

$$=\rho(x_2,x_3)\beta(x_1,h_1)h_2+\beta(x_2,h_2)\rho(x_1,x_3)h_1,$$

$$\mu(x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,x_5])-\mu([x_1,x_2,x_3],x_4,x_5)-\mu(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4],x_5) \tag{14}$$

$$-\mu(x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_5])=\rho(x_3,x_4)\mu(x_1,x_2,x_5)-\rho(x_3,x_5)\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4)$$
$$-\rho(x_1,x_2)\mu(x_3,x_4,x_5)+\rho(x_4,x_5)\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3).$$

Proof. If A is a 3-Lie algebra, then Eqs.(8), (12), (13) and (14) follow from Eq.(5), directly. Now, we prove that Eqs.(4), (6), (10) hold. For all $x_i \in M,\ i=1,\cdots,4,\ h\in H$, by Eq.(5),

$[h,x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4]_A]_A=[h,x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4]]+[h,x_1,\mu(x_2,x_3,x_4)]$
$=\rho(x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4])h+\beta(x_1,\mu(x_2,x_3,x_4))h,$

$[[h,x_1,x_2]_A,x_3,x_4]_A+[x_2,[h,x_1,x_3]_A]_A+[x_2,x_3,[h,x_1,x_4]_A]_A$
$=\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)h-\rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)h+\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4)h.$

Then we have

$\rho(x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4])+\beta(x_1,\mu(x_2,x_3,x_4))$
$=\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)-\rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)+\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4).$

Replacing x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4 by x_4,x_1,x_2,x_3, we obtain Eq.(6).

From $[x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,h]_A]_A=\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)h$, and

$[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,x_4,h]_A+[x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4]_A,h]_A+[x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,h]_A]_A$
$=\rho([x_1,x_2,x_3],x_4)h-\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))h+\rho(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4])h$
$+\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))h+\rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)h,$

we obtain Eq.(7). By Lemma 3.2, Eq.(4) holds.

For all $h_i\in H, i=1,2,3,4$ and $x\in M$, by Eq.(5),

$[h_1,h_2,[h_3,h_4,x]_A]_A=[h_1,h_2,\beta(x,h_3)h_4]$, and

$[[h_1,h_2,h_3]_A,h_4,x]_A+[h_3,[h_1,h_2,h_4]_A,x]_A+[h_3,h_4,[h_1,h_2,x]_A]_A$
$=\beta(x,[h_1,h_2,h_3])h_4+\beta(x,h_3)[h_1,h_2,h_4]+[h_3,h_4,\beta(x,h_1)h_2].$

Then we have

$[h_1,h_2,\beta(x,h_3)h_4]$
$=\beta(x,[h_1,h_2,h_3])h_4+\beta(x,h_3)[h_1,h_2,h_4]+[h_3,h_4,\beta(x,h_1)h_2].$

Since $\beta(x,h_3)\in Der(H)$, we obtain

$\beta(x,[h_1,h_2,h_3])h_4$
$=[h_1,h_2,\beta(x,h_3)h_4]-\beta(x,h_3)[h_1,h_2,h_4]-[h_3,h_4,\beta(x,h_1)h_2]$

$= -[\beta(x,h_3)h_1,h_2,h_4] - [h_1,\beta(x,h_3)h_2,h_4] + [h_3,\beta(x,h_1)h_2,h_4]$
$= ad(\beta(x,h_1)h_3,h_2)h_4 + ad(h_3,\beta(x,h_1)h_2)h_4 + ad(\beta(x,h_3)h_2,h_1)h_4.$
It follows that Eq.(10) holds.

Conversely, to prove A is a 3-Lie algebra, we only need to show that the multiplication Eq.(5) satisfies Jacobi identities. So we divide our discussion into cases.

Case 1. For all $x_i \in M, i = 1,\cdots,5$,

$[x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,x_5]_A]_A$
$= [x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,x_5]] + \mu(x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,x_5]) + \rho(x_1,x_2)\mu(x_3,x_4,x_5),$

$[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,x_4,x_5]_A + [x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4]_A,x_5]_A + [x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_5]_A]_A$
$= [[x_1,x_2,x_3],x_4,x_5] + \mu([x_1,x_2,x_3],x_4,x_5) + \rho(x_4,x_5)\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3)$
$+[x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4],x_5] + \mu(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4],x_5) + \rho(x_5,x_3)\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4)$
$+[x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_5]] + \mu(x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_5]) + \rho(x_3,x_4)\mu(x_1,x_2,x_5).$

Thanks to Eq.(14), we have

$[x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,x_5]_A]_A$
$= [[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,x_4,x_5]_A + [x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4]_A,x_5]_A + [x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_5]_A]_A.$

Case 2. For all $x_i \in M, i = 1,\cdots,4, h \in H$,

$[h,x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4]_A]_A = [h,x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4]] + [h,x_1,\mu(x_2,x_3,x_4)]$
$= \rho(x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4])h + \beta(x_1,\mu(x_2,x_3,x_4))h,$

$[[h,x_1,x_2]_A,x_3,x_4]_A + [x_2,[h,x_1,x_3]_A]_A + [x_2,x_3,[h,x_1,x_4]_A]_A$
$= \rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)h - \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)h + \rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4)h.$

Replacing x_4,x_1,x_2,x_3 by x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4 in Eq.(6), we obtain

$\rho(x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4]) = \rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2) - \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)$
$+\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4) - \beta(x_1,\mu(x_2,x_3,x_4)).$

Therefore,

$[h,x_1,[x_2,x_3,x_4]_A]_A$
$= [[h,x_1,x_2]_A,x_3,x_4]_A + [x_2,[h,x_1,x_3]_A]_A + [x_2,x_3,[h,x_1,x_4]_A]_A.$

In addition, $[x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,h]_A]_A = \rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)h$, and

$[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,x_4,h]_A + [x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4]_A,h]_A + [x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,h]_A]_A$
$= \rho([x_1,x_2,x_3],x_4)h - \beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))h + \rho(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4])h$
$+\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))h + \rho(x_3,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_2)h.$

By Lemma 3.2 and Eq.(6),

$\rho(x_4,[x_1,x_2,x_3]) - \rho(x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4])$
$= \rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_4,x_1) - \rho(x_1,x_3)\rho(x_4,x_2) + \rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_4,x_3)$
$-\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3)) - \rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_1) + \rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_3,x_2)x_4))$

$-\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)+\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4)$
$=\beta(x_3,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_4))-\beta(x_4,\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3))-\rho(x_2,x_3)\rho(x_1,x_4)$
$-\rho(x_1,x_4)\rho(x_2,x_3)+\rho(x_1,x_3)\rho(x_2,x_4)+\rho(x_2,x_4)\rho(x_1,x_3)$
$-2\rho(x_1,x_2)\rho(x_3,x_4)$. By Eq.(4) and Eq.(7),

$[x_1,x_2,[x_3,x_4,h]_A]_A$
$=[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,x_4,h]_A+[x_3,[x_1,x_2,x_4]_A,h]_A+[x_3,x_4,[x_1,x_2,h]_A]_A.$

Case 3. For all $x_i\in M, i=1,2,3$, and $h_1,h_2\in H$, by By Eq.(13)

$[x_1,h_1,[x_2,x_3,h_2]_A]_A$
$=[[x_1,h_1,x_2]_A,x_3,h_2]_A+[x_2,[x_1,h_1,x_3]_A,h_2]_A+[x_2,x_3,[x_1,h_1,h_2]_A]_A.$

By Eq.(12)

$[h_1,h_2,[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A]_A$
$=[[h_1,h_2,x_1]_A,x_2,x_3]_A+[x_1,[h_1,h_2,x_2]_A,x_3]_A+[x_1,x_2,[h_1,h_2,x_3]_A]_A,$

$[x_1,x_2,[x_3,h_1,h_2]_A]_A=\rho(x_1,x_2)\beta(x_3,h_1)h_2,$

$[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,h_1,h_2]_A+[x_3,[x_1,x_2,h_1]_A,h_2]_A+[x_3,h_1,[x_1,x_2,h_2]_A]_A$
$=\beta([x_1,x_2,x_3],h_1)h_2+\beta(x_3,\rho(x_1,x_2)h_1)h_2+\beta(x_3,h_1)\rho(x_1,x_2)h_2$
$+[\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3),h_1,h_2].$

By a direct computation and By Eq.(12) and By Eq.(13),

$[\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3),h_1,h_2]$
$=\beta(x_1,h_1)\rho(x_2,x_3)h_2+\beta(x_3,h_2)\rho(x_1,x_2)h_1-\beta(x_2,h_2)\rho(x_1,x_3)h_1$
$+\rho(x_1,x_3)\beta(x_2,h_2)h_1-\rho(x_1,x_2)\beta(x_3,h_2)h_1-\beta([x_1,x_2,x_3],h_1)h_2,$

$[\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3),h_1,h_2]$
$=\beta(x_2,h_2)\rho(x_1,x_3)h_1+\beta(x_3,h_1)\rho(x_2,x_1)h_2-\beta(x_1,h_1)\rho(x_2,x_3)h_2$
$+\rho(x_2,x_3)\beta(x_1,h_1)h_2-\rho(x_2,x_1)\beta(x_3,h_1)h_2-\beta([x_1,x_2,x_3],h_1)h_2,$

$[\mu(x_1,x_2,x_3),h_1,h_2]$
$=\rho(x_1,x_2)\beta(x_3,h_1)h_2-\beta(x_3,h_1)\rho(x_1,x_2)h_2+\beta(x_3,h_2)\rho(x_1,x_2)h_1$
$-\beta([x_1,x_2,x_3],h_1)h_2.$

Therefore,

$[x_1,x_2,[x_3,h_1,h_2]_A]_A$
$=[[x_1,x_2,x_3]_A,h_1,h_2]_A+[x_3,[x_1,x_2,h_1]_A,h_2]_A+[x_3,h_1,[x_1,x_2,h_2]_A]_A.$

Case 4. For all $x_1,x_2\in M, h_i\in H, i=1,2,3$, since $\rho(x_1,x_2)\in Der(H)$ and Eq.(8), we obtain

$[x_1,x_2,[h_1,h_2,h_3]_A]_A$
$=[[x_1,x_2,h_1]_A,h_2,h_3]_A+[h_1,[x_1,x_2,h_2]_A,h_3]_A+[h_1,h_2,[x_1,x_2,h_3]_A]_A,$

$[x_1,h_1,[x_2,h_2,h_3]_A]_A$

$= [[x_1, h_1, x_2]_A, h_2, h_3]_A + [x_2, [x_1, h_1, h_2]_A, h_3]_A + [x_2, h_2, [x_1, h_1, h_3]_A]_A.$

We know that $[h_1, h_2, [h_3, x_1, x_2]_A]_A = [h_1, h_2, \rho(x_1, x_2)h_3]$, and

$[[h_1, h_2, h_3]_A, x_1, x_2]_A + [h_3, [h_1, h_2, x_1]_A, x_2]_A + [h_3, x_1, [h_1, h_2, x_2]_A]_A$

$= \rho(x_1, x_2)[h_1, h_2, h_3] + \beta(x_2, h_3)\beta(x_1, h_1)h_2 - \beta(x_1, h_3)\beta(x_2, h_1)h_2$

Thanks to Lemma 3.3,

$\rho(x_1, x_2)[h_1, h_2, h_3]$

$= \beta(x_2, h_1)\beta(x_1, h_3)h_2 + \beta(x_2, h_2)\beta(x_1, h_1)h_3 + \beta(x_2, h_3)\beta(x_1, h_2)h_1,$

$\beta(x_2, h_2)\beta(x_1, h_1)h_3 - \beta(x_2, h_3)\beta(x_1, h_1)h_2$

$= \rho(x_1, x_2)[h_1, h_2, h_3] + \beta(x_2, h_1)\beta(x_1, h_2)h_3.$

Again by Eq.(8)

$[\rho(x_1, x_2)h_1, h_2, h_3]$

$= \rho(x_1, x_2)[h_1, h_2, h_3] + \beta(x_2, h_1)\beta(x_1, h_2)h_3 - \beta(x_1, h_1)\beta(x_2, h_2)h_3.$

It follows that $[h_1, h_2, [h_3, x_1, x_2]_A]_A$

$= [[h_1, h_2, h_3]_A, x_1, x_2]_A + [h_3, [h_1, h_2, x_1]_A, x_2]_A + [h_3, x_1, [h_1, h_2, x_2]_A]_A.$

Case 5. For all $x \in M, h_i \in H, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, since $\beta(x, h_1) \in Der(H)$ and Eq.(10),

$[x, h_1, [h_2, h_3, h_4]_A]_A$

$= [[x, h_1, h_2]_A, h_3, h_4]_A + [h_2, [x, h_1, h_3]_A, h_4]_A + [h_2, h_3, [x, h_1, h_4]_A]_A.$

$[h_1, h_2, [h_3, h_4, x]_A]_A$

$= [[h_1, h_2, h_3]_A, h_4, x]_A + [h_3, [h_1, h_2, h_4]_A, x]_A + [h_3, h_4, [h_1, h_2, x]_A]_A.$

Summarizing the above discussion, we obtain that the multiplication defined by Eq.(5) satisfies Jacobi identities. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.6 *Let $A = H \dot{+} M$ be a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. Then linear maps $i : H \to A$ and $p : A \to M$ defined by for all $h \in H$, $x \in M$, $i(h) = h$ and $p(h + x) = x$, are Lie homomorphisms, and the following sequence is exact*

$$0 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow 0. \tag{15}$$

Proof. The result follows from a direct computation according to Theorem 3.5 and Eq.(5).

Theorem 3.7 *Let $A = M\dot{+}H$ be a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. Then (H, ρ) is an M-module if and only if $\beta(M, \mu(M, M, M)) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\beta(M, \mu(M, M, M)) = 0$, by Lemmas 2.1 and 3.2, (H, ρ) is an M-module.

Conversely, for all $x_j \in M, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, from Theorem 3.5, and Eqs.(6) and (7)

$\rho([x_1, x_2, x_4], x_3) - \rho([x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4)$
$= -\beta(x_4, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \beta(x_3, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_4)) - \rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4)$
$+\rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2)$, and

$\rho(x_2, [x_1, x_3, x_4])$
$= \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_1) - \rho(x_1, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_1, x_3)\rho(x_2, x_4)$
$-\beta(x_2, \mu(x_1, x_3, x_4))$. Therefore,

$-\rho([x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4)+\rho([x_1, x_2, x_4], x_3)+\rho(x_2, [x_1, x_3, x_4])-\rho(x_1, [x_2, x_3, x_4])$
$= -\beta(x_4, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \beta(x_3, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_4)) - \rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4)$
$+\rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2) + \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_1) - \rho(x_1, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_3)$
$+\rho(x_1, x_3)\rho(x_2, x_4) - \beta(x_2, \mu(x_1, x_3, x_4))-\rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2)$
$+\rho(x_2, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_3) - \rho(x_2, x_3)\rho(x_1, x_4) + \beta(x_1, \mu(x_2, x_3, x_4))$
$= \beta(x_3, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_4)) - \beta(x_4, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \beta(x_1, \mu(x_2, x_3, x_4))$
$-\beta(x_2, \mu(x_1, x_3, x_4)) - \rho(x_1, x_2)\rho(x_3, x_4) - \rho(x_3, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_2)$
$+\rho(x_1, x_3)\rho(x_2, x_4)+\rho(x_2, x_4)\rho(x_1, x_3) - \rho(x_1, x_4)\rho(x_2, x_3)$
$-\rho(x_2, x_3)\rho(x_1, x_4)$
$= \beta(x_3, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_4)) - \beta(x_4, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)) + \beta(x_1, \mu(x_2, x_3, x_4))$
$-\beta(x_2, \mu(x_1, x_3, x_4)) = 0.$
By Eq.(6), $\beta(x_4, \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)) = 0$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.8 *Let $A = M\dot{+}H$ be a (μ, ρ)-extension of H by M, and (H, ρ) be an M-module. Then A is a 3-Lie algebra if and only if $\mu(M, M, M) \subseteq Z(H)$, $\rho(M, M) \subseteq Z(Der(H))$ and Eq.(14) holds.*

Proof. If A is a 3-Lie algebra. By Theorem 3.5, Eq.(14) holds, and from $\beta = 0$ and Eq.(10) and Eq.(6), we get $\mu(M, M, M) \subseteq Z(H)$, $\rho(M, M) \subseteq Z(Der(H))$, respectively. Conversely, the result follows from Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.5, directly .

4 Extensions of derivations

In this section we suppose that M and H are 3-Lie algebras, A is a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. For derivations $\sigma \in DerM$, $\tau \in DerH$ and $\delta \in DerA$, if the following diagram commutes,

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{i} & A & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \tau\downarrow & & \delta\downarrow & & \sigma\downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{i} & A & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array} \tag{16}
$$

then we say that the pair $(\sigma, \tau) \in Der(M) \times Der(H)$ extends to a derivation $\delta \in Der(A)$, where $i(h) = h$ and $p(x + h) = x$ for all $x \in M$ and $h \in H$. And (σ, τ) is called **extendable.**

We first introduce the external direct sum 3-Lie algebra of a given 3-Lie algebra.

Let A be a vector space, the vector space $\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A\}$ is called the exterior direct sum space of A, and is denoted by A^3.

Theorem 4.1 *Let A be an arbitrary 3-Lie algebra. Then A^3 is a 3-Lie algebra in the following multiplication, for all $x_i, y_i, z_i \in A$, $i = 1, 2, 3$,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2), (x_3, y_3, z_3)] \\
&= ([x_1, y_2, y_3] + [x_2, y_3, y_1] + [x_3, y_1, y_2], [y_1, y_2, y_3], [z_1, z_2, z_3]).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}
$$

And it is called **the exterior direct sum 3-Lie algebra of** A, and is simply denoted by A^3.

Proof. It is clear that the multiplication Eq.(17) is skew-symmetric. For all x_j, y_j, z_j in A for $1 \leq j \leq 5$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2), [(x_3, y_3, z_3), (x_4, y_4, z_4), (x_5, y_5, z_5)]] \\
&= [(x_1, y_1, z_1), (x_2, y_2, z_2), ([x_3, y_4, y_5] + [x_5, y_3, y_4] \\
&+[x_4, y_5, y_3], [y_3, y_4, y_5], [z_3, z_4, z_5])] \\
&= ([x_1, y_2, [y_3, y_4, y_5]] + [[x_3, y_4, y_5] + [x_5, y_3, y_4] + [x_4, y_5, y_3], y_1, y_2] \\
&+[x_2, [y_3, y_4, y_5], y_1], [y_1, y_2, [y_3, y_4, y_5]], [z_1, z_2, [z_3, z_4, z_5]]) \\
&= ([[x_1, y_2, y_3], y_4, y_5] + [y_3, [x_1, y_2, y_4], y_5] + [y_3, y_4, [x_1, y_2, y_5]]
\end{aligned}
$$

$+[[x_3,y_1,y_2],y_4,y_5]+[x_3,[y_4,y_1,y_2],y_5]+[x_3,y_4,[y_5,y_1,y_2]]$
$+[[x_5,y_1,y_2],y_3,y_4]+[x_5,[y_3,y_1,y_2],y_4]+[x_5,y_3,[y_4,y_1,y_2]]$
$+[[x_4,y_1,y_2],y_5,y_3]+[x_4,[y_5,y_1,y_2],y_3]+[x_4,y_5,[y_3,y_1,y_2]]$
$+[[x_2,y_3,y_1],y_4,y_5]+[y_3,[x_2,y_4,y_1],y_5]+[y_3,y_4,[x_2,y_5,y_1]],$
$[y_1,y_2,[y_3,y_4,y_5]],\ [z_1,z_2,[z_3,z_4,z_5]]),$

$[[(x_1,y_1,z_1),\ (x_2,y_2,z_2),\ (x_3,y_3,z_3)],\ (x_4,y_4,z_4),\ (x_5,y_5,z_5)]$
$+[(x_3,y_3,z_3),\ [(x_1,y_1,z_1),(x_2,y_2,z_2),(x_4,y_4,z_4)],\ (x_5,y_5,z_5)]$
$+[(x_3,y_3,z_3),(x_4,y_4,z_4),[(x_1,y_1,z_1),(x_2,y_2,z_2),(x_5,y_5,z_5)]]$
$=[([x_1,y_2,y_3]+[x_3,y_1,y_2]+[x_2,y_3,y_1],[y_1,y_2,y_3],[z_1,z_2,z_3]),$
$(x_4,y_4,z_4),(x_5,y_5,z_5)]+[(x_3,y_3,z_3),([x_1,y_2,y_4]+[x_4,y_1,y_2]$
$+[x_2,y_4,y_1],[y_1,y_2,y_4],[z_1,z_2,z_4]),(x_5,y_5,z_5)]$
$+[(x_3,y_3,z_3)],(x_4,y_4,z_4),([x_1,y_2,y_5]+[x_5,y_1,y_2]$
$+[x_2,y_5,y_1],[y_1,y_2,y_5],[z_1,z_2,z_5])]$
$=([[x_1,y_2,y_3]+[x_3,y_1,y_2]+[x_2,y_3,y_1],y_4,y_5]+[x_5,[y_1,y_2,y_3],y_4]$
$+[x_4,y_5,[y_1,y_2,y_3]],[[y_1,y_2,y_3],y_4,y_5],[[z_1,z_2,z_3],z_4,z_5])$
$+([x_3,[y_1,y_2,y_4],y_5]+[x_5,y_3,[y_1,y_2,y_4]]+[[x_1,y_2,y_4]$
$+[x_4,y_1,y_2]+[x_2,y_4,y_1],y_5,y_3],\ [y_3,[y_1,y_2,y_4],y_5],[z_3,[z_1,z_2,z_4],z_5])$
$+([x_3,y_4,[y_1,y_2,y_5]]+[[x_1,y_2,y_5]+[x_5,y_1,y_2]+[x_2,y_5,y_1],y_3,y_4]$
$+[x_4,[y_1,y_2,y_5],y_3],[y_3,y_4,[y_1,y_2,y_5]],[z_3,z_4,[z_1,z_2,z_5]])$
$=([[x_1,y_2,y_3],y_4,y_5]+[[x_3,y_1,y_2],y_4,y_5]+[[x_2,y_3,y_1],y_4,y_5]$
$+[x_5,[y_1,y_2,y_3],y_4]\ +[x_4,y_5,[y_1,y_2,y_3]]+[x_3,[y_1,y_2,y_4],y_5]$
$+[x_5,y_3,[y_1,y_2,y_4]]+[[x_1,y_2,y_4],y_5,y_3]\ +[[x_4,y_1,y_2],y_5,y_3]$
$+[[x_2,y_4,y_1],y_5,y_3]+[x_3,y_4,[y_1,y_2,y_5]]+[[x_1,y_2,y_5],y_3,y_4]$
$+[[x_5,y_1,y_2],y_3,y_4]+[[x_2,y_5,y_1],y_3,y_4]$
$+[x_4,[y_1,y_2,y_5],y_3],[[y_1,y_2,y_3],y_4,y_5]\ +[y_3,[y_1,y_2,y_4],y_5]$
$+[y_3,y_4,[y_1,y_2,y_5]]],[[z_1,z_2,z_3],z_4,z_5]+[z_3,[z_1,z_2,z_4],z_5]$
$+[z_3,z_4,[z_1,z_2,z_5]]).$

Therefore A^3 is a 3-Lie algebra in the multiplication Eq.(17).

Theorem 4.2 *The subspace* $(0,0,A)$ *is an abelian ideal of the exterior direct sum* 3*-Lie algebra* A^3*, and subspaces* $(0,A,0)$*,* $(A,0,0)$*,* $(A,A,0)$ *and* $(0,A,A)$ *are subalgebras.*

Proof. The result follows from a direct computation.

Theorem 4.3 *Let* $A=M\dot{+}H$ *be a* (μ,ρ,β)*-extension* 3*-Lie algebra of* H *by* M *and* $(\sigma,\tau)\in Der(M)\times Der(H)$*. Then the pair* (σ,τ) *is extendable if and*

only if there exists a linear map $\gamma : M \to H$ *satisfying, for all* $x, x_j \in M$ *and* $h \in H$, $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}(18)\qquad & \tau\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \gamma[x_1, x_2, x_3] \\ & = \mu(\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3) + \mu(x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3) + \mu(x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3) \\ & +\rho(x_1, x_2)\gamma(x_3) + \rho(x_3, x_1)\gamma(x_2) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\gamma(x_1),\end{aligned}$$

$$(19)\qquad [\tau, \rho(x_1, x_2)] = \rho(\sigma x_1, x_2) + \rho(x_1, \sigma x_2) + \beta(\gamma(x_1), x_2) + \beta(x_1, \gamma(x_2)),$$

$$(20)\qquad [\tau, \beta(x, h)] = \beta(\sigma x, h) + \beta(x, \tau h) + ad(\gamma(x), h).$$

The extension $\delta \in DerA$ *is given by the formula*

$$(21)\qquad \delta(x + h) = \sigma x + \gamma(x) + \tau(h), \quad \textit{for all} \quad x \in M, \quad h \in H.$$

Proof. If there exists a linear map $\gamma : M \to H$ satisfies Eq.(18), Eq.(19) and Eq.(20), thanks to Eq. (5), the linear map $\delta : A \to A$ defined by Eq.(21) satisfies that for all $x_j \in M, j = 1, 2, 3$, $h, h_1, h_2 \in H$

$\delta[x_1, x_2, x_3]_A = \delta([x_1, x_2, x_3] + \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3))$
$= \sigma[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \gamma[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \tau\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)$,
$[\delta x_1, x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta x_3]_A$
$= [\sigma x_1 + \gamma(x_1), x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \sigma x_2 + \gamma(x_2), x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3 + \gamma(x_3)]_A$
$= [\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3] + \mu(\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\gamma(x_1) + [x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3]$
$+\mu(x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3) - \rho(x_1, x_3)\gamma(x_2) + [x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3]$
$+\mu(x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3) + \rho(x_1, x_2)\gamma(x_3)$.

By Eq.(18),

$\delta[x_1, x_2, x_3]_A = [\delta x_1, x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta x_3]_A$.
$\delta[x_1, x_2, h]_A = \tau(\rho(x_1, x_2)h)$, and
$[\delta x_1, x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta h]_A$
$= [\sigma x_1 + \gamma(x_1), x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \sigma x_2 + \gamma(x_2), h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \tau h]_A$
$= \rho(\sigma x_1, x_2)h - \beta(x_2, \gamma(x_1))h + \rho(x_1, \sigma x_2)h + \beta(x_1, \gamma(x_2))h + \rho(x_1, x_2)\tau h$.

By Eq.(19),

$\delta[x_1, x_2, h]_A = [\delta x_1, x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta h]_A$.

$\delta[x, h_1, h_2]_A = \delta\beta(x, h_1)h_2 = \tau\beta(x, h_1)h_2$, and

$[\delta x, h_1, h_2]_A + [x, \delta h_1, h_2]_A + [x, h_1, \delta h_2]_A$

$= \beta(\sigma x, h_1)h_2 + [\gamma(x), h_1, h_2] + [x, \tau h_1, h_2] + [x, h_1, \tau h_2]$

$= \beta(\sigma x, h_1)h_2 + [\gamma(x), h_1, h_2] + \beta(x, \tau h_1)h_2 + \beta(x, h_1)\tau h_2$.

By Eq.(20),

$\delta[x, h_1, h_2]_A = \delta\beta(x, h_1)h_2$

$= [\delta x, h_1, h_2]_A + [x, \delta h_1, h_2]_A + [x, h_1, \delta h_2]_A$.

It follows that δ is a derivation of A and the diagram (16) is commutative.

Conversely, by Theorem 3.6, and the diagram (16), for all $x \in A, h \in H$,

$$P(\delta(x)) = \sigma P(x) = \sigma x,\ \delta(i(h)) = \delta(h) = i(\tau(h)) = \tau(h),$$

and $\delta(x) - \sigma(x) \in H, \delta(h) = \tau(h)$.

Define linear map $\gamma : A = M\dot{+}H \to H$, by $\gamma(x + h) = \delta(x) - \sigma(x)$, for all $x \in M$ and $h \in H$.

Since $\delta \in Der(A)$, for all $x_j \in M, j = 1, 2, 3$,

$\delta[x_1, x_2, x_3]_A = \delta([x_1, x_2, x_3] + \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3))$

$= \sigma[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \gamma[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \tau\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)$

$= [\delta x_1, x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta x_3]_A$

$= [\sigma x_1 + \gamma(x_1), x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \sigma x_2 + \gamma(x_2), x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3 + \gamma(x_3)]_A$

$= [\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3] + \mu(\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\gamma(x_1) + [x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3] + \mu(x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3)$

$-\rho(x_1, x_3)\gamma(x_2) + [x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3] + \mu(x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3) + \rho(x_1, x_2)\gamma(x_3)$,

it follows that Eq.(18) holds. For all $x_1, x_2 \in M$ and $h \in H$, thanks to Eq. (5),

$\delta[x_1, x_2, h]_A = \tau(\rho(x_1, x_2)h)$

$= [\delta x_1, x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta h]_A$

$= [\sigma x_1 + \gamma(x_1), x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \sigma x_2 + \gamma(x_2), h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \tau h]_A$

$= \rho(\sigma x_1, x_2)h - \beta(x_2, \gamma(x_1))h + \rho(x_1, \sigma x_2)h + \beta(x_1, \gamma(x_2))h + \rho(x_1, x_2)\tau h$.

We obtain Eq.(19). Moreover, for all $x \in M, h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$\delta[x, h_1, h_2]_A = \delta\beta(x, h_1)h_2 = \tau\beta(x, h_1)h_2$

$= [\delta x, h_1, h_2]_A + [x, \delta h_1, h_2]_A + [x, h_1, \delta h_2]_A$

$= \beta(\sigma x, h_1)h_2 + [\gamma(x), h_1, h_2] + [x, \tau h_1, h_2] + [x, h_1, \tau h_2]$

$= \beta(\sigma x, h_1)h_2 + [\gamma(x), h_1, h_2] + \beta(x, \tau h_1)h_2 + \beta(x, h_1)\tau h_2$.

It follows Eq.(20). The proof is complete.

Corollary 4.4 *Let $A = M\dot{+}H$ be a (μ, ρ)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M, and (H, ρ) be an M-module and $(\sigma, \tau) \in Der(M) \times Der(H)$. Then the pair*

(σ, τ) is extendable if and only if Eq.(18) holds and there exists a linear map $\gamma : M \to H$ satisfying $\gamma(M) \subseteq Z(H)$ and

$$\tau\rho(x_1, x_2) - \rho(x_1, x_2)\tau = \rho(\sigma x_1, x_2) + \rho(x_1, \sigma x_2), \forall x_1, x_2 \in M, h \in H. \quad (22)$$

Proof. If there exists a linear map $\gamma : M \to H$ satisfying $\gamma(M) \subseteq Z(H)$ and Eq.(18) and Eq.(22), it is easy to show that δ defined by Eq.(21) is a derivation of A, and the diagram Eq.(16) is commutate.

Conversely, by the diagram (16), $P(\delta(x)) = \sigma P(x) = \sigma x,\ i(\tau h) = \delta(i(h))$. Then we obtain a linear map $\gamma : M \to H$, $\gamma(x) = \delta(x) - \sigma x$ which satisfies that, for all $x, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in M,\ h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$[\gamma(x), h_1, h_2] = [\sigma x + \gamma(x), h_1, h_2]$
$= [\delta x, h_1, h_2]_A + [x, \delta h_1, h_2]_A + [x, h_1, \delta h_2]_A = \delta[x, h_1, h_2]_A$
$= 0.$
It follows $\gamma(M) \subseteq Z(H)$.

Since $\delta \in Der(A)$,

$\delta[x_1, x_2, x_3]_A = \delta([x_1, x_2, x_3] + \mu(x_1, x_2, x_3))$
$= \sigma[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \gamma[x_1, x_2, x_3] + \tau\mu(x_1, x_2, x_3)$
$= [\delta x_1, x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta x_3]_A$
$= [\sigma x_1 + \gamma(x_1), x_2, x_3]_A + [x_1, \sigma x_2 + \gamma(x_2), x_3]_A + [x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3 + \gamma(x_3)]_A$
$= [\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3] + \mu(\sigma x_1, x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_2, x_3)\gamma(x_1) + [x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3]$
$+\mu(x_1, \sigma x_2, x_3) + \rho(x_3, x_1)\gamma(x_2) + [x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3]$
$+\mu(x_1, x_2, \sigma x_3) + \rho(x_1, x_2)\gamma(x_3),$
it follows that Eq(18) holds. From

$\delta[x_1, x_2, h]_A = \tau(\rho(x_1, x_2)h) = [\delta x_1, x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \delta x_2, h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \delta h]_A$
$= [\sigma x_1 + \gamma(x_1), x_2, h]_A + [x_1, \sigma x_2 + \gamma(x_2), h]_A + [x_1, x_2, \tau h]_A$
$= \rho(\sigma x_1, x_2)h + \rho(x_1, \sigma x_2)h + \rho(x_1, x_2)\tau h.$

Hence Eq.(22) holds. The proof is complete.

Lemma 4.5 *Let A be a 3-Lie algebra, $\delta \in End(A)$. Define $f_\delta : A \to A^3$ by the formula*

$$f_\delta(x) = (\delta x, x, x), \forall x \in A. \quad (23)$$

Then $\delta \in Der(A)$ if and only if f_δ is a 3-Lie homomorphism.

Proof. By Eq.(23) and Eq.(17),

$f_\delta[x,y,z] = (\delta[x,y,z],[x,y,z],[x,y,z])$,

$[f_\delta(x), f_\delta(y), f_\delta(z)] = [(\delta x, x, x), (\delta y, y, y), (\delta z, z, z)]$

$= ([\delta x, y, z] + [\delta y, z, x] + [\delta z, x, y],\ [x,y,z],[x,y,z])$, for all $x, y, z \in A$. The result follows.

Since a pair of derivations $(\sigma, \tau) \in Der(M) \times Der(H)$ corresponds to the pair of 3-Lie homomorphisms (f_σ, f_τ), where $f_\sigma : M \to M^3$ and $f_\tau : H \to H^3$, this allows us to translate questions about extensions of derivations into questions about extensions of 3-Lie homomorphisms.

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6 *Let H and M be 3-Lie algebras, $A = M \dot{+} H$ be a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. Then the sequence of 3-Lie algebras*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3 \xrightarrow{i\otimes i\otimes i} A^3 \xrightarrow{p\otimes p\otimes p} M^3 \longrightarrow 0. \tag{24}$$

is exact, where $i \times i \times i$ is identity on H^3, and $p \times p \times p$ is the projection.

Proof. The result follows from Eqs. (5) and (17) and a direct computation.

Lemma 4.7 *Let H and M be 3-Lie algebras, $A = M \dot{+} H$ be a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M, and $(\sigma, \tau) \in Der(M) \otimes Der(H)$, $f_\sigma : M \to M^3$ and $f_\tau : H \to H^3$ be defined by Eq.(23). Then there exists a 3-Lie homomorphism $g : A \to A^3$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{i} & A & \xrightarrow{p} & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_\tau} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_\sigma} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^3 & \xrightarrow{i\times i\times i} & A^3 & \xrightarrow{P\times P\times P} & M^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{25}$$

if and only if there exists linear map $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : M \to H^3$, such that for all $x \in M$ and $h \in H$,

$g(x + h) = (\gamma_1(x) + \sigma(x) + \tau(h), \gamma_2(x) + x + h, \gamma_3(x) + x + h)$, *and*

$$\gamma_j(M) \subseteq Z(H),\ j = 2, 3, \tag{26}$$

$$\beta(\gamma_j(x), y) + \beta(x, \gamma_j(y)) = 0, j = 2, 3, \tag{27}$$

$$\gamma_j[x,y,z] = \rho(x,y)\gamma_j(z) + \rho(z,x)\gamma_j(y) + \rho(y,z)\gamma_j(x), j = 2,3, \tag{28}$$

$$[\tau, \rho(x,y)] = \rho(\sigma x, y) + \rho(x, \sigma y) + \beta(\gamma_1(x), y) \tag{29}$$

$$+\beta(x, \gamma_1(y)) - \beta(\gamma_2(\sigma x), y) - \beta(x, \gamma_2(\sigma y)),$$

$$[\tau, \beta(x,h)] = \beta(\sigma x, h) + \beta(x, \tau h) + ad(\gamma_1(x), h), \forall x \in M, h \in H, \tag{30}$$

$$\tau\mu(x,y,z) + \gamma_1[x,y,z] - \gamma_2\sigma[x,y,z] \tag{31}$$

$$= \mu(\sigma x, y, z) + \mu(x, \sigma y, z) + \mu(x, y, \sigma z) + \rho(x,y)\gamma_1(z)$$
$$+\rho(z,x)\gamma_1(y) + \rho(y,z)\gamma_1(x) - \rho(x,y)\gamma_2(\sigma z) - \rho(z,x)\gamma_2(\sigma y) - \rho(y,z)\gamma_2(\sigma x).$$

Proof. Let $g : A \to A^3$ be a 3-Lie homomorphism, and denote $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$, $g_j : A \to A, j = 1,2,3$. Then for all $x, y, z,\ x_j \in M, h, h_j \in H, j = 1,2,3$,

$g[x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3 + h_3]_A$
$= (g_1[x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3 + h_3]_A, g_2[x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3 + h_3]_A, g_3[x_1 + h_1, x_2$
$+h_2, x_3 + h_3]_A)$
$= [g(x_1 + h_1), g(x_2 + h_2), g(x_3 + h_3)]_A$
$= ([g_1(x_1+h_1), g_2(x_2+h_2), g_2(x_3+h_3)]_A + [g_1(x_3+h_3), g_2(x_1+h_1), g_2(x_2+h_2)]_A$
$+[g_1(x_2 + h_2), g_2(x_3 + h_3), g_2(x_1 + h_1)]_A, [g_2(x_1 + h_1), g_2(x_2 + h_2), g_2(x_3$
$+h_3)]_A, [g_3(x_1 + h_1), g_3(x_2 + h_2), g_3(x_3 + h_3)]_A)$. We show that

$g_2[x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3 + h_3]_A = [g_2(x_1 + h_1), g_2(x_2 + h_2), g_2(x_3 + h_3)]_A$, and

$g_3[x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2, x_3 + h_3]_A = [g_3(x_1 + h_1), g_3(x_2 + h_2), g_3(x_3 + h_3)]_A$, that is, g_2, g_3 are 3-Lie homomorphisms.

By the commutativity of diagram (24), $g|_H = f_\tau$, and $g_2|_H, g_3|_H$ are identities. Since for all $x \in M$,

$f_\sigma(Px) = f_\sigma(x) = (\sigma x, x, x)$
$= (P \times P \times P)(g(x)) = (Pg_1(x), Pg_2(x), Pg_3(x))$,
we get a linear map $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : M \to H^3$, defined by

$$\gamma_1(x) = g_1(x) - \sigma(x), \quad \gamma_2(x) = g_2(x) - x, \quad \gamma_3(x) = g_3(x) - x.$$

From

$g_2[x, h_1, h_2]_A = \beta(x, h_1)h_2 = [x + \gamma_2(x), h_1, h_2]_A$

$= \beta(x, h_1)h_2 + [\gamma_2(x), h_1, h_2]$,

we obtain $[\gamma_2(x), h_1, h_2] = 0$, that is, $\gamma_2(M) \subseteq Z(H)$. Similarly, $\gamma_3(M) \subseteq Z(H)$, it shows that Eq.(26) holds. Since

$g_2[x, y, h]_A = \rho(x, y)h = [x + \gamma_2(x), y + \gamma_2(y), h]_A$

$= \rho(x, y)h + \beta(\gamma_2(x), y)h + \beta(x, \gamma_2(y))h$,

we get $\beta(\gamma_2(x), y) + \beta(x, \gamma_2(y)) = 0$. Similarly, $\beta(\gamma_3(x), y) + \beta(x, \gamma_3(y)) = 0$. Therefore Eq.(27) holds. From

$g_2[x, y, z]_A = [x, y, z] + \gamma_2[x, y, z] + \mu(x, y, z)$

$= [x + \gamma_2(x), y + \gamma_2(y), z + \gamma_2(z)]_A$

$= [x, y, z] + \mu(x, y, z) + \rho(x, y)\gamma_2(z) + \rho(z, x)\gamma_2(y) + \rho(y, z)\gamma_2(x)$

$+[x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)] + [\gamma_2(x), y, \gamma_2(z)] + [\gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y), z]$,

we obtain

$\gamma_2[x, y, z] = \rho(x, y)\gamma_2(z) + \rho(z, x)\gamma_2(y) + \rho(y, z)\gamma_2(x)$

$+[x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)] + [\gamma_2(x), y, \gamma_2(z)] + [\gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y), z]$.

Thanks to Eq.(27),

$[x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)] = -[\gamma_2(x), y, \gamma_2(z)] = [\gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y), z] = -[x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)]$,

$[x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)] = [\gamma_2(x), y, \gamma_2(z)] = [\gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y), z] = 0$, and

$[M, \gamma_2(M), \gamma_2(M)] = 0$. Therefore,

$\gamma_2[x, y, z] = \rho(x, y)\gamma_2(z) + \rho(z, x)\gamma_2(y) + \rho(y, z)\gamma_2(x)$,

that is, $\gamma_2 \in Der(M, Z(H))$. Similarly,

$\gamma_3[x, y, z] = \rho(x, y)\gamma_3(z) + \rho(z, x)\gamma_3(y) + \rho(y, z)\gamma_3(x)$,

and $\gamma_3(x) \in Der(M, Z(H))$. It follows that Eq.(28) holds.

By $g[x, y, z]_A = g([x, y, z] + \mu(x, y, z))$

$= (g_1[x, y, z], g_2[x, y, z], g_3[x, y, z]) + (\tau\mu(x, y, z), \mu(x, y, z), \mu(x, y, z))$

$= (\sigma[x, y, z] + \gamma_1[x, y, z] + \tau\mu(x, y, z), [x, y, z] + \gamma_2[x, y, z]$

$+\mu(x, y, z), [x, y, z] + \gamma_3[x, y, z] + \mu(x, y, z))$

$= [(\sigma x + \gamma_1(x), x + \gamma_2(x), x + \gamma_3(x)), (\sigma y + \gamma_1(y), y + \gamma_2(y), y$

$+\gamma_3(y)), (\sigma z + \gamma_1(z), z + \gamma_2(z), z + \gamma_3(z))]_A$

$= ([\sigma x + \gamma_1(x), y + \gamma_2(y), z + \gamma_2(z)]_A + [\sigma z + \gamma_1(z), x + \gamma_2(x), y + \gamma_2(y)]_A$

$+[\sigma y+\gamma_1(y), z+\gamma_2(z), x+\gamma_2(x)]_A, [x+\gamma_2(x), y+\gamma_2(y), z+\gamma_2(z)]_A, [x+\gamma_3(x), y+$

$\gamma_3(y), z + \gamma_3(z)]_A)$

$= ([\sigma x, y, z] + \mu(\sigma x, y, z) + [\sigma x, y, \gamma_2(z)] + [\sigma x, \gamma_2(y), z]$

$+[\sigma x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)]+[\gamma_1(x), y, z] + [\gamma_1(x), y, \gamma_2(z)]$

$+[\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2 y, z] + [\sigma z, x, y] + \mu(\sigma z, x, y) + [\sigma z, x, \gamma_2(y)]$

$+[\sigma z, \gamma_2(x), y]$ $+[\sigma z, \gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y)] + [\gamma_1(z), x, y]$
$+[\gamma_1(z), x, \gamma_2(y)]$ $+[\gamma_1(z), \gamma_2(x), y] + [\sigma y, z, x]$
$+\mu(\sigma y, z, x)$ $+[\sigma y, z, \gamma_2(x)] + [\sigma y, \gamma_2(z), x] + [\sigma y, \gamma_2(z), \gamma_2(x)]$
$+[\gamma_1(y), z, x] + [\gamma_1(y), z, \gamma_2(x)]$ $+[\gamma_1(y), \gamma_2(z), x], [x, y, z] + \mu(x, y, z)$
$+[x, y, \gamma_2(z)]$ $+[x, \gamma_2(y), z] + [x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)]$ $+[\gamma_2(x), y, z] + [\gamma_2(x), y, \gamma_2(z)]$
$+[\gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y), z], [x, y, z] + \mu(x, y, z) + [x, y, \gamma_3(z)]$
$+[x, \gamma_3(y), z] + [x, \gamma_3(y), \gamma_3(z)] + [\gamma_3(x), y, z]$
$+[\gamma_3(x), y, \gamma_3(z)] + [\gamma_3(x), \gamma_3(y), z])$.

We obtain that

$\gamma_1[x, y, z] + \tau\mu(x, y, z)$
$= [\gamma_1(x), y, z] + [\gamma_1(z), x, y] + [\gamma_1(y), z, x] + \mu(\sigma x, y, z) + \mu(\sigma z, x, y)$
$+\mu(\sigma y, z, x) + [\sigma x, y, \gamma_2(z)] + [\sigma x, \gamma_2(y), z] + [\sigma x, \gamma_2(y), \gamma_2(z)] + [\gamma_1(x), y, \gamma_2(z)]$
$+[\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(y), z] + [\sigma z, x, \gamma_2(y)] + [\sigma z, \gamma_2(x), y]$
$+[\sigma z, \gamma_2(x), \gamma_2(y)] + [\gamma_1(z), x, \gamma_2(y)] + [\gamma_1(z), \gamma_2(x), y]$
$+[\sigma y, z, \gamma_2(x)] + [\sigma y, \gamma_2(z), x] + [\sigma y, \gamma_2(z), \gamma_2(x)]$
$+[\gamma_1(y), z, \gamma_2(x)] + [\gamma_1(y), \gamma_2(z), x]$.

Thanks to Eq.(28),

$[\sigma x, y, \gamma_2(z)] + [\sigma x, \gamma_2(y), z] = \gamma_2[\sigma x, y, z] - [\gamma_2(\sigma x), y, z]$,
$[\sigma z, x, \gamma_2(y)] + [\sigma z, \gamma_2(x), y] = \gamma_2[x, y, \sigma z] - [x, y, \gamma_2(\sigma z)]$,

and

$[\sigma y, z, \gamma_2(x)] + [\sigma y, \gamma_2(z), x] = \gamma_2[x, \sigma y, z] - [x, \gamma_2(\sigma y), z]$,

we obtain

$\gamma_2\sigma[x, y, z] = [\gamma_2(\sigma x), y, z] + [x, \gamma_2(\sigma y), z] + [x, y, \gamma_2(\sigma z)]$.

From Eq.(27) it follows that

$\gamma_1[x, y, z] + \tau\mu(x, y, z)$
$= [\gamma_1(x), y, z] + [\gamma_1(z), x, y] + [\gamma_1(y), z, x] + \mu(\sigma x, y, z)$ $+\mu(\sigma z, x, y)$
$+\mu(\sigma y, z, x) + \gamma_2\sigma[x, y, z]$ $-[\gamma_2(\sigma x), y, z] - [x, \gamma_2(\sigma y), z] - [x, y, \gamma_2(\sigma z)]$.

Therefore, Eq.(31) holds.

Since

$g[x, h_1, h_2]_A = (\tau[x, h_1, h_2], [x, h_1, h_2], [x, h_1, h_2])$
$= [(\sigma x + \gamma_1(x), x + \gamma_2(x), x + \gamma_3(x)), (\tau h_1, h_1, h_1), (\tau h_2, h_2, h_2)]_A$
$= ([\sigma x, h_1, h_2] + [\gamma_1(x), h_1, h_2] + [\tau h_2, x, h_1] + [\tau h_1, h_2, x], [x, h_1, h_2], [x, h_1, h_2])$,

we obtain

$\tau[x, h_1, h_2] = [\sigma x, h_1, h_2] + [\gamma_1(x), h_1, h_2] + [\tau h_2, x, h_1] + [\tau h_1, h_2, x]$, it shows that Eq.(30) holds.

Thanks Eq.(27) and Eq.(28), and

$g[x,y,h]_A = (\tau[x,y,h],[x,y,h],[x,y,h])$
$= [gx,gy,gh]_A = [(\sigma x+\gamma_1(x), x+\gamma_2(x), x+\gamma_3(x)), (\sigma y+\gamma_1(y), y$
$+\gamma_2(y), y+\gamma_3(y)), (\tau h, h, h)]_A$
$= ([\sigma x, y, h] + [\sigma x, \gamma_2(y), h] + [\gamma_1(x), y, h] + [\tau h, x, y] + [\tau h, x, \gamma_2(y)]$
$+[\tau h, \gamma_2(x), y] + [\sigma y, h, x] + [\sigma y, h, \gamma_2(x)] + [\gamma_1(y), h, x], [x, y, h]$
$+[\gamma_2(x), y, h] + [x, \gamma_2(y), h], [x, y, h] + [\gamma_3(x), y, h] + [x, \gamma_3(y), h]),$
It follows that Eq.(29) holds.

Conversely, define $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) : A \to A^3$, by for all $x \in M$ and $h \in H$,

$g_1(x+h) = \gamma_1(x) + \sigma(x) + \tau(h), g_2(x+h) = \gamma_2(x) + x + h, g_3(x+h)$
$= \gamma_3(x) + x + h.$
By a direct computation according to Eqs.(26) - Eqs.(31), g is a 3-Lie homomorphism and the diagram (24) is commutate. The proof is complete.

Corollary 4.8 *Let H, M be 3-Lie algebras, $\rho : M \wedge M \to Der(H)$ be a representation, $A = M \dot{+} H$ be a (μ, ρ)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. Then for $(\sigma, \tau) \in Der(M) \times Der(H)$, there exists a 3-Lie homomorphism $g : A \to A^3$ such that diagram (24) commutes if and only if there exists $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) : M \to H^3$ satisfying Eq.(26), Eq.(28), Eq.(31) and Eq.(22).*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 4.7 directly (the case $\beta = 0$).

From Lemma 4.6 and Eq.(28), $\gamma_j \in Der(M, Z(H)), j = 2, 3$, so we can extend $\gamma_j, j = 2, 3$ to a derivation of A into $Z(H)$ by setting

$$\gamma_j(H) = 0, j = 2, 3.$$

Then we obtain the following result directly.

Corollary 4.9 *Let H, M be 3-Lie algebras, $A = M \dot{+} H$ be a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. If $\gamma \in Der(A, Z(H))$ satisfies Eqs.(26)-Eq.(31) in Lemma 4.7 and $\gamma(H) = 0$, then g_γ is a 3-Lie homomorphism, where $g_\gamma : A^3 \to A^3, g_\gamma(x, y, z) = (x + \gamma(x), y + \gamma(y), z + \gamma(z))$.*

Theorem 4.10 *Let H, M be 3-Lie algebras, $A = M \dot{+} H$ be a (μ, ρ, β)-extension 3-Lie algebra of H by M. A pair $(\sigma, \tau) \in Der(M) \times Der(H)$ can be extended to a derivation of A if and only if the pair of 3-Lie homomorphisms (f_σ, f_τ) can be extended to a 3-Lie homomorphism from A to A^3.*

Proof. If g is the extension of (f_σ, f_τ), thanks to Lemma 4.7 and Corollary 4.9, we know for all $x \in M, h, h_1, h_2 \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{-\gamma} \circ g(x+h) = g_{-\gamma}(g_1(x+h), g_2(x+h), g_3(x+h)) \\
&= g_{-\gamma}(\sigma x + \gamma_1(x) + \tau h, x + \gamma_2(x) + h, x + \gamma_3(x) + h) \\
&= (\sigma x + \gamma_1(x) + \tau h - \gamma(\sigma x), x + \gamma_2(x) + h - \gamma(x), x + \gamma_3(x) + h - \gamma(x)) \\
&= (\sigma x + \gamma_1(x) + \tau h - \gamma(\sigma x), x + h, x + h).
\end{aligned}
$$

Define linear map: $\delta : A \to A$ by

$$\delta(x) = \sigma x + \gamma_1(x) - \gamma(\sigma x), \delta(h) = \tau h, \forall x \in M, h \in H.$$

Then for all $x, y, z \in M, h_k \in H, k = 1, 2$, we have

$\delta[x, h_1, h_2]_A = \tau[x, h_1, h_2]$, $\delta[x, y, h] = \tau[x, y, h]$,

$\delta[x, y, z]_A = \sigma[x, y, z] + \gamma_1[x, y, z] - \gamma(\sigma[x, y, z]) + \tau\mu(x, y, z)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\delta x, h_1, h_2]_A + [x, \delta h_1, h_2]_A + [x, h_1, \delta h_2]_A \\
&= [\sigma x, h_1, h_2] + [x, \tau h_1, h_2] + [x, h_1, \tau h_2] + [\gamma_1(x), h_1, h_2].
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to $[\tau, \beta(x, h)] = \beta(\sigma x, h) + \beta(x, \tau h) + ad(\gamma_1(x), h)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\delta x, y, h] + [x, \delta y, h] + [x, y, \delta h] \\
&= [\sigma x, y, h] + [\gamma_1 x, y, h] - [\gamma(\sigma x), y, h] + [x, \sigma y, h] \\
&+ [x, \gamma_1(y), h] - [x, \gamma(\sigma y), h] + [x, y, \tau h].
\end{aligned}
$$

Again from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\tau, \rho(x, y)] \\
&= \rho(\sigma x, y) + \rho(x, \sigma y) + \beta(\gamma_1(x), y) + \beta(x, \gamma_1(y)) - \beta(\gamma(\sigma x), y) - \beta(x, \gamma(\sigma y)),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& [\delta x, y, z]_A + [x, \delta y, z]_A + [x, y, \delta z]_A \\
&= [\sigma x + \gamma_1(x) - \gamma(\sigma x), y, z]_A + [x, \sigma y + \gamma_1(y) - \gamma(\sigma y), z]_A \\
&+ [x, y, \sigma z + \gamma_1(z) - \gamma(\sigma z)]_A \\
&= [\sigma x, y, z] + \mu(\sigma x, y, z) + [\gamma_1(x), y, z] - [\gamma(\sigma x), y, z] + [x, \sigma y, z] + \mu(x, \sigma y, z) \\
&+ [x, \gamma_1(y), z] - [x, \gamma(\sigma y), z] + [x, y, \sigma z] + \mu(x, y, \sigma z) + [x, y, \gamma_1(z)] \\
&- [x, y, \gamma(\sigma z)], \text{ and}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\mu(x, y, z) + \gamma_1[x, y, z] - \gamma\sigma[x, y, z] \\
&= \mu(\sigma x, y, z) + \mu(x, \sigma y, z) + \mu(x, y, \sigma z) + \rho(x, y)\gamma_1(z) + \rho(z, x)\gamma_1(y) \\
&+ \rho(y, z)\gamma_1(x) - \rho(x, y)\gamma(\sigma z) - \rho(z, x)\gamma(\sigma y) - \rho(y, z)\gamma(\sigma x),
\end{aligned}
$$

we show that δ is a derivation of A and it is an extension of the pair (σ, τ).

Conversely, the result follows from Lemma 4.5 directly. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements

The first named author was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation (11371245) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2014201006).

References

[]A H. Awata, M. Li, D. Minic and T. Yoneya, On the quantization of Nambu brackets, *JHEP* 2, (2001), 013 (17pp).

[]BBW R. Bai, C. Bai and J. Wang, Realizations of 3-Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.,* **51**, (2010), 063505.

[]BLZ R. Bai, H. Liu, M. Zhang, 3-Lie Algebras Realized by Cubic Matrices, Chin. Ann. Math., **35B**, (2014), 2: 261-270.

[]BW R. Bai, Y. Wu, Constructions of 3-Lie algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **63**, (2015), 11: 2171-2186.

[]F V. Filippov, $n-$Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.,* **26** (1985): 126-140

[]G A. Gustavsson, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes,*Nucl.Phys.B* **811** (2009): 66-76

[]HIM P. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo, $M2$ to $D2$ revisited, *JHEP*, **003** (2008), 0807

[]L W. Ling, On the structure of $n-$Lie algebras, Dissertation, University-GHS-Siegen, Siegn, 1993.

[]N Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, *Phys. Pev. D* **7**, (1973): 2405-2412.

[]Po1 A. Pozhidaev, Simple quotient algebras and subalgebras of Jacobian algebras, *Sib. Math. J.,* **39** (1998), 3: 512-517.

[]Po2 A. Pozhidaev, Monomial n-Lie algebras, *Algebra i Logika,* **37** (1998), 3: 181-192.

[]T L. Takhtajan, On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **160**, (1994): 295-315.

[]STS M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical r-matrix, *Funct. Ana. Appl.*, **17** (1983): 259-272.

Ruipu Bai, Yansha Gao, Zhenheng Li
College of Mathematics and Information Science
Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China

bairuipu@hbu.edu.cn
gaoyansha111@163.com
zhenhengl@yahoo.com